



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/636,359 08/11/00 REUTER

R 000519

023464  
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL, P.C.  
301 GRANT STREET  
20TH FLOOR  
PITTSBURGH PA 15219

PM82/0509

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3635

DATE MAILED:

05/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

**Office Action Summary**

Applicati n No.

09/636,359

Applicant(s)

REUTER ET AL.

Examiner

Chi Q Nguyen

Art Unit

3635

– The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –  
Peri d f r Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 and 42-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 17-18, 48-50, 55-56 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16, 19-26, 42-47, 51-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

**Attachment(s)**

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-11, ~~31-14~~, ~~19-24~~, ~~41~~, ~~43~~, ~~47~~, ~~51-53~~<sup>5r-2</sup> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Waalkes (U.S. Pat. No. 5,899,035).

Regards to claims, Waalkes discloses a knock-down portable partition system 1, comprising vertical posts 6, lock members 12, a rigid frame 2, facing panels 4 are removably mounted to rigid frame members 2, means for mounting having top, intermediate, bottom support brackets 5 between frame panels, a crow member 106, vertical supports 7 having a plurality of openings 21 for cable or utility wires 23, 24, bottom panels 42, a free standing member 30, a base cover 50, utility troughs 30, 31, communication lines 30, power lines 28, (see Figs. 1-13, 24-27, 33-34, Cols. 6-10).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3635

4. Claims 6, 8, 12, 15, 16, 18, 25, 42, 44-46, 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waalkes (U. S. Pat. No. 5,899,035) in view of Nickens (U. S. Pat. No. 5,537,766).

Regards to claims, Waalkes discloses a knock-down portable partition system which comprising vertical posts 6, lock members 12, a rigid frame 2, facing panels 4 are removably mounted to rigid frame members 2, means for mounting having top, intermediate, bottom support brackets 5 between frame panels, a crown member 106, vertical supports 7 having a plurality of openings 21 for cable or utility wires 23, 24, bottom panels 42, a free standing member 30, a base cover 50, utility troughs 30, 31, communication lines 30, power lines 28 as previously described in paragraph 2.

Waalkes does not disclose expressly and specifically the left end of one of individual panel assemblies is adapted to be secured to the right end of another of individual panel assemblies.

Nickens teaches the display panel systems 10a, 10b each includes a plurality of display panels 12 which are pivotally interconnected one to the other. (See Figs. 1, 2, Column 3, 62-67, Column 4, lines 1-3). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Waalkes with Nickens. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a horizontal connection for panels.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Claims 17-18, 48-50, 55-56 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed combination including the crown member having

Art Unit: 3635

an upper, lower angled, an inclined clamping surface, an up-mount and down bracket  
as specifically set forth in the claims.

***Conclusion***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen  
whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Monday-Thursday (7:00-5:00), Fridays off  
or the examiner's supervisor Carl D. Friedman (703) 308-0839.

CN

5/4/01  
~~12/15/00~~



Carl D. Friedman  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group 3600